A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF GRAMMAR: GERMAN AND TURKIC LANGUAGES

Qodirova Oydin Ulug'bek qizi O'zbekiston Milliy Universiteti Jizzax filiali Ingliz tili filologiyasi yo'nalashi talabasi Abdurahmonova Zilola Yoqubjon qizi

Ilmiy maslahatchi

Abstract: Grammar is the backbone of any language, providing the rules and structures that govern its usage. In this article, we will explore the fascinating world of grammar by comparing German, a West Germanic language, with Turkic languages, a language family primarily spoken in Central Asia and parts of Eastern Europe. By examining their grammatical features, we can gain insights into the similarities and differences between these two language groups.

Word Order and Sentence Structure:

German:

German has a moderately flexible word order, but the most common word order is subject-verb-object (SVO). However, due to its rich case system, German allows for greater flexibility in word order without losing clarity. The verb is typically placed in the second position in main clauses.

Turkic Languages:

Turkic languages generally follow a subject-object-verb (SOV) word order. However, word order flexibility is limited compared to German. Turkic languages rely more on case markers to indicate grammatical relations within a sentence.

Noun Declension:

German:

German has a highly developed system of noun declension. Nouns are inflected for case (nominative, accusative, dative, genitive), gender (masculine, feminine,

Yangi O'zbekiston taraqqiyotida tadqiqotlarni o'rni va rivojlanish omillari

neuter), and number (singular, plural). Case endings and articles change depending on the noun's function in a sentence.

Turkic Languages:

Turkic languages also have noun declension systems, but they are generally less complex than in German. Nouns are inflected for case (nominative, accusative, dative, genitive) and number (singular, plural). Some Turkic languages also have gender distinctions.

Verb Confugation:

German:

German verbs undergo conjugation based on person, number, tense, mood, and voice. Regular verbs follow predictable patterns, while irregular verbs have unique conjugation forms. German distinguishes between weak and strong verbs, which exhibit different patterns of inflection.

Turkic Languages:

Turkic languages typically have agglutinative verb conjugation. Verb roots remain unchanged, while affixes are added to indicate tense, aspect, mood, person, and number. Turkic languages have rich systems of verb derivation, allowing for the creation of complex verb forms.

Case Systems:

German:

German has a rich case system with four cases: nominative, accusative, dative, and genitive. Cases are used to indicate the grammatical function of nouns and pronouns in a sentence. The case system allows for flexibility in word order and precise expression of relationships between elements.

Turkic Languages:

Turkic languages also have case systems, though they can vary across different Turkic languages. Common cases include nominative, accusative, dative, and genitive. Case markers are added to nouns to indicate their grammatical roles within a sentence.

Yangi O'zbekiston taraqqiyotida tadqiqotlarni o'rni va rivojlanish omillari

Conclusion: The comparison of German and Turkic languages' grammar reveals both shared and distinct features. While German exhibits a more complex noun declension system and verb conjugation, Turkic languages rely on agglutination and case markers to indicate grammatical relations. Both language groups demonstrate different approaches to word order and sentence structure.

Studying the grammar of different languages, such as German and Turkic languages, allows us to appreciate the diversity and complexity of human language. It deepens our understanding of how grammar shapes communication and reflects the cultural and linguistic heritage of a community. By exploring these comparative aspects, we gain valuable insights into the fascinating world of linguistic diversity.

Reference

1. "The World's Major Languages" edited by Bernard Comrie, 1987

2. Mokhira, K. (2023). HOLISTIC SCORING AS A GRADING METHOD. International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research, 493-495.

3. Khusanova, M. (2022). Learning new content material through cooperative group discussions. *European Scholar Journal*, *3*, 44-45

4. https://poe.com/chat/2tjxgli9cnqkee3n9li