

## “ASSESSMENT OF SPEAKING SKILLS”

*Qochqorova Zulfizar Abduxamid qizi**FSU, teacher**A'zamjonova Maftuna Soxibjon qizi**FSU, 4th year student maftunaxonazamjonova@gmail.com***Abstract**

Among the four language skills, language testers consider speaking to be the most challenging. It is necessary to either record the performance through some method for future assessment or to watch a "live" oral performance in order to evaluate speaking. This article depicts the analysis of assessment of speaking skill.

**Keywords**— authentic assessment, speaking skill, need analysis, teaching English

Although in a sense, all language tests are an indirect measure of the ability they measure, [1]Clark's (1979) classification of language assessment methods as indirect, semidirect, and direct has proven useful for understanding speaking assessment methods. Assessment is a crucial part in the process of learning to determine whether the learning purposes have been achieved [1, 2, 3] and to provide information about the effectiveness of teaching methods used by the teachers (Moon, 2005). It is the systematic basis for making inferences about the learning and development of students. By doing assessment, teachers give students the opportunity to demonstrate their knowledges and skills [4]. Effective assessment strategies help educators gauge progress, tailor instruction, and guide learners toward improved oral communication. Here are key considerations for assessing speaking skills:

**1. Task Variety:**

- Dialogue Practice: Encourage learners to engage in authentic dialogues, simulating real-life conversations.
- Role-Playing: Assign roles to stimulate specific scenarios, promoting creativity and linguistic flexibility.
- Presentations: Evaluate the ability to convey information formally, fostering public speaking skills.

**2. Pronunciation and Intonation:**

- Phonetic Exercises: Integrate activities targeting specific phonetic challenges to enhance pronunciation.
- Intonation Analysis: Assess the appropriate use of intonation patterns for conveying meaning and emotion.

**3. Vocabulary and Grammar:**

- Lexical Range: Evaluate the richness and appropriateness of vocabulary used in spoken communication.
- Grammatical Accuracy: Consider the learner's ability to structure sentences correctly and apply grammatical rules.

**4. Fluency and Coherence:**

- Smooth Delivery: Assess how well learners maintain a natural flow of speech without undue pauses.
- Logical Structure: Evaluate the coherence of ideas, ensuring a well-organized and comprehensible discourse.

**5. Interactive Communication:**

- Listening and Responding: Evaluate the ability to comprehend spoken language and respond appropriately.
- Negotiation of Meaning: Assess how effectively learners navigate communication breakdowns and seek clarification.

**6. Cultural Awareness:**

- Sociolinguistic Competence: Consider the appropriateness of language use in various social contexts.
- Non-Verbal Communication: Assess awareness of cultural nuances in gestures, body language, and facial expressions.

**7. Self-Assessment and Reflection:**

- Self-Evaluation: Encourage learners to assess their own speaking skills, promoting self-awareness.
- Reflective Tasks: Integrate reflection activities to help learners identify areas for improvement and set goals.

**8. Technology Integration:**

- Recording and Playback: Use technology to record and review speaking performances, facilitating self-analysis.
- Online Platforms: Explore digital tools that allow asynchronous speaking practice and assessment.

**9. Feedback Mechanism:**

- Constructive Feedback: Provide specific, constructive feedback to guide learners in refining their speaking skills.
- Peer Evaluation: Incorporate peer assessment to encourage collaborative learning and diverse perspectives.

**In conclusion**, a comprehensive approach to assessing speaking skills involves a blend of diverse tasks, attention to linguistic elements, consideration of cultural aspects, and the integration of technology. This multifaceted approach not only measures

proficiency but also fosters an environment that nurtures continuous improvement in oral communication abilities.

### References

1. Clark, J. L. D. (1979). Direct vs. semi-direct tests of speaking ability. In E. J. Briere & F. B. Hinofotis (Eds.), Concepts in language testing: Some recent studies (pp. 35–49).
2. Angelo, T.A., & Cross, K.P. (1993). Classroom Assessment Techniques: A Handbook for College Teachers. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers
3. Wiggins, G. (2008). What are some types of Assessment? <http://www.edutopia.org/assessment-guide-description>. Retrieved on July 2016
4. Zaim, M. & Refnaldi. (2016). “Teachers’ Need on Authentic Assessment for Speaking Skills”, Proceeding International Seminar on Languages and Arts-5. Padang: FBS UNP Press
5. Moon T.R. (2005). “Development of Authentic Assessments for the Middle School Classroom”, The Journal of Secondary Gifted Education. 16 (2/3) Winter/Spring.
6. Qochqorova, Z. (2023). FRAZEOLOGIK BIRLIKLARNI TARJIMA QILISH MUAMMOLARI. *Ilm-fan va ta'lim*, 1(11).
7. Qochqorova, Z. A. (2023). Comparative Study of Paremiological Units that Represent the Concept of " Wedding" in Different Systematic Languages. *Best Journal of Innovation in Science, Research and Development*, 2(10), 580-584.
8. ZULFIZAR, K. (2023). PAREMIOLOGICAL UNITS AS THE REPRESENTATIVES OF A CONCEPT. *Ethiopian International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 10(10), 183-187.
9. Kochkorova, Z. (2023). TRANSLATOLOGICAL PROBLEMS AT WORD LEVEL. *Академические исследования в современной науке*, 2(23), 39-43.